

# ImmunoTools *FlowISiAM* Award 2024



## **Pierre van der Bruggen**

PhD, Professor  
Institut de DUVE  
Université catholique de Louvain  
Avenue Hippocrate 74/B1.74.03  
1200 Brussels, BELGIUM



## **Frank Aboubakar Nana**

MD, Professor  
Service de Pneumologie  
Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc  
Avenue Hippocrate 55/B1.55.01  
1200 Woluwe-Saint-Lambert, BELGIUM

## **Assessing Pathological Complete Response in Neoadjuvant Treatment of non-small cell lung cancer: A Non-Invasive Approach Using *FlowISiAM*.**

**Acronym:** ANITA - Assessment of Non-Invasive Techniques for Achieving pCR in Lung Cancer

### **BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide [1], with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) making up approximately 85% of all cases [2]. The advent of immunotherapy, particularly with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), has greatly improved the management of both locally advanced (stage III) and metastatic (stage IV) NSCLC, leading to better 5-year survival rates [3-5]. Consequently, ICIs have become the new standard of care, used either as a consolidation treatment after chemoradiotherapy for stage III NSCLC[6] or as the primary treatment for stage IV NSCLC without targetable oncogenic driver [7].

About half of all diagnosed NSCLC cases are at stage I-III [8]. While surgery is the primary treatment for early-stage lung cancer[9], only about one in four patients have a disease that can be surgically removed at diagnosis, and 30% to 55% of these cases recur after surgery [10, 11]. Recent clinical trials have shown the efficacy of immunotherapy as part of surgical strategies aiming for a cure, which may include neoadjuvant (before surgery), adjuvant (after surgery), or perioperative (spanning the period around surgery) administration of ICIs [12-

17]. Interestingly, both preclinical [18] and clinical [19] data suggest that neoadjuvant ICIs, which prime the body's immune response against tumor-specific antigens, are more effective in eradicating microscopic metastatic disease than adjuvant ICIs. This is particularly true when combined with platinum-based chemotherapy in early-stage NSCLC, resulting in better event-free and overall survival, and a marked increase in pathological complete response (pCR) compared to neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy alone [20], emphasizing the potential of neoadjuvant ICI strategies to significantly improve patient outcomes. Moreover, neoadjuvant chemo-immunotherapy has redefined the boundaries of operable disease, with multi-station N2 disease now being considered for surgery, as shown in the NEOTORCH phase III and Phase II NADIM II trials [15, 21].

Importantly, approximately 25% of patients achieve a pathological complete response (pCR) in the neoadjuvant NSCLC setting [12-15, 21]. Moreover, achieving pCR in the context of neoadjuvant chemo-immunotherapy is a strong surrogate endpoint for overall survival (OS), as patients achieving pCR generally do not experience cancer recurrence (indicating better disease-free survival, or DFS) and tend to live longer (indicating better OS) [12, 14]. Unfortunately, patients with pCR face risks associated with surgery, including a mortality rate of 2-5%, morbidity of 16-21%, and the development of bronchopleural fistulas (0.5-1.8%) [22]. To date, no tests, including blood tests or imaging, have been able to distinguish between patients who achieve pCR and those who do not. Currently, surgery is the only method to differentiate these two populations.

Therefore, it is crucial to identify a non-invasive test that can detect pCR, thus potentially avoiding unnecessary surgery and reducing exposure to surgical risks. We aim to utilize a non-invasive tool, using a blood sample, called *FlowISiAM*, in the first cohort of patients with a known diagnosis of lung cancer, compared to patients without cancer, to establish the positive and negative predictive values, as well as to identify the false positive and false negative rates of the test.

In the second part of the test validation, we will apply *FlowISiAM* prospectively in a cohort of patients receiving neoadjuvant immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) to differentiate between those who achieve pCR and those who do not. Lastly, we will also collect blood samples from patients at diagnosis and on the day of surgery to determine if *FlowISiAM* can serve as a non-invasive method to assess treatment efficacy and residual disease, in comparison to CT scan imaging, which remains the standard for evaluating treatment efficacy today.

Within this collaboration, **ImmunoTools** adjust the experimental and instrumental set-up for *FlowISiAM* analysis. INVIGATE will assist during the selection of optimized monoclonal antibodies for detection of NSCLC and intend to create proof-of-principle results for a joint research grant application.

## REFERENCES

1. Sung, H., et al., *Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries*. 2021. **71**(3): p. 209-249.
2. Houston, K.A., et al., *Patterns in lung cancer incidence rates and trends by histologic type in the United States, 2004-2009*. *Lung Cancer*, 2014. **86**(1): p. 22-8.
3. Garassino, M.C., et al., *Pembrolizumab Plus Pemetrexed and Platinum in Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: 5-Year Outcomes From the Phase 3 KEYNOTE-189 Study*. *J Clin Oncol*, 2023. **41**(11): p. 1992-1998.
4. Spigel, D.R., et al., *Five-Year Survival Outcomes From the PACIFIC Trial: Durvalumab After Chemoradiotherapy in Stage III Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer*. 2022. **40**(12): p. 1301-1311.
5. Novello, S., et al., *Pembrolizumab Plus Chemotherapy in Squamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: 5-Year Update of the Phase III KEYNOTE-407 Study*. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 2023. **41**(11): p. 1999-2006.
6. Antonia, S.J., et al., *Overall Survival with Durvalumab after Chemoradiotherapy in Stage III NSCLC*. *N Engl J Med*, 2018. **379**(24): p. 2342-2350.
7. Hendriks, L.E., et al., *Non-oncogene-addicted metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up*. *Ann Oncol*, 2023. **34**(4): p. 358-376.
8. Morgensztern, D., et al., *Trends in Stage Distribution for Patients with Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: A National Cancer Database Survey*. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology*, 2010. **5**(1): p. 29-33.
9. Remon, J., J.C. Soria, and S. Peters, *Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: an update of the ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines focusing on diagnosis, staging, systemic and local therapy*. *Ann Oncol*, 2021. **32**(12): p. 1637-1642.
10. Endo, C., et al., *Results of Long-Term Follow-Up of Patients With Completely Resected Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer*. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, 2012. **93**(4): p. 1061-1068.
11. Kelsey, C.R., et al., *Local recurrence after surgery for early stage lung cancer*. *Cancer*, 2009. **115**(22): p. 5218-5227.
12. Forde, P.M., et al., *Neoadjuvant Nivolumab plus Chemotherapy in Resectable Lung Cancer*. 2022. **386**(21): p. 1973-1985.
13. Heymach, J.V., et al., *Perioperative Durvalumab for Resectable Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer*. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2023. **389**(18): p. 1672-1684.
14. Wakelee, H., et al., *Perioperative Pembrolizumab for Early-Stage Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer*. *N Engl J Med*, 2023.
15. Lu, S., et al., *Perioperative Toripalimab Plus Chemotherapy for Patients With Resectable Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: The Neotorch Randomized Clinical Trial*. *JAMA*, 2024. **331**(3): p. 201-211.
16. Felip, E., et al., *Overall survival with adjuvant atezolizumab after chemotherapy in resected stage II-IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower010): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase III trial*. *Annals of Oncology*, 2023. **34**(10): p. 907-919.
17. O'Brien, M., et al., *Pembrolizumab versus placebo as adjuvant therapy for completely resected stage IB&#x2013;IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (PEARLS/KEYNOTE-091): an interim analysis of a randomised, triple-blind, phase 3 trial*. *The Lancet Oncology*, 2022. **23**(10): p. 1274-1286.
18. Liu, J., et al., *Improved Efficacy of Neoadjuvant Compared to Adjuvant Immunotherapy to Eradicate Metastatic Disease*. *Cancer Discovery*, 2016. **6**(12): p. 1382-1399.
19. Patel, S.P., et al., *Neoadjuvant-Adjuvant or Adjuvant-Only Pembrolizumab in Advanced Melanoma*. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2023. **388**(9): p. 813-823.
20. Sorin, M., et al., *Neoadjuvant Chemoimmunotherapy for NSCLC: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. *JAMA Oncology*, 2024.
21. Provencio, M., et al., *Perioperative Nivolumab and Chemotherapy in Stage III Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer*. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2023.
22. Brunelli, A., et al., *Morbidity and mortality of lobectomy or pneumonectomy after neoadjuvant treatment: an analysis from the ESTS database*. *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*, 2019. **57**(4): p. 740-746.

**ImmunoTools FlowISiAM** AWARD for

**Pierre van der Bruggen and Frank Aboubakar Nana** includes

antibodies for **FlowISiAM**, know how transfer and protocol, support regarding selection of specific antibodies against specific biomarkers from INVIGATE, expert assistance in evaluating the results obtained, and integration into the **ImmunoTools FlowISiAM** network.